



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,840	09/23/2003	Paul Alfred Dickinson	CARP-0108	4976

23377 7590 05/26/2005  
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP  
ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR  
1650 MARKET STREET  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER

GOLLAMUDI, SHARMILA S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1616

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/668,840

Applicant(s)

DICKINSON ET AL

Examiner

Sharmila S. Gollamudi

Art Unit

1616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 March 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 36-65 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 36-65 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 1616

### DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of Amendments and Arguments received on March 7, 2005 is acknowledged. Claims 36-65 are pending in this application.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

**The rejection of claims 36-65 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn in view of arguments.**

It is noted that applicant argues that derivatives encompasses “salts and solvates” and the examiner suggests incorporating this into the claims.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

**Claims 37, 40, 47-48, 52, 55, and 62-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.**

Claims 40, 47, 55, and 62 are directed to a range of 0.00002-20% by weight of the amino acid or derivative; however this range does not find support in the instant specification.

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 37, 48, 52, and 63 are directed to a Markush group of medicaments, however “cromolyn, epinephrine, and ephedrine” do not have support in the instant specification.

It should be noted that when applicant substantially amends the claims, applicant should point to the specific page and line to provide support for the amendments. See MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06.

This is a new matter rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

**The rejection of claims 36-65 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 5,725,841 to Duan et al is maintained for reasons set for in the Office Action mailed 10/20/04 on pages 3-4.**

Duan et al discloses an aerosol formulation containing a particulate drug, a propellant, and a dispersing aid derived from a hydroxyacid, mercapto acid, or an amino acid, wherein the formulation does not flocculate, cream, or settle quickly. See abstract and column 2, lines 6-20.

The amino acid derivative has the general formula described on column 3, lines 50-55 and column 6, lines 17-45. The most preferred amino acid residues include glycine, valine, leucine, serine, etc. . see column 6, lines 48-55. The dispersing aid is used in a concentration of 0.001 to 1 part based on 100 parts by weight of the propellant. The examples contained a concentration of 0.05% of the dispersing agent. See examples 35-38.

Art Unit: 1616

The propellant of choice is instant HFC-143a (1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane or HFC-227 (1,1,1,2,3,3,3-heptafluoropropane). Duan et al discloses the instant drugs 38, 48, 52, and 63. see column 8, lines 22-35 and examples.

The formulations are be prepared by combining (i) the drug in an amount sufficient to provide a plurality of therapeutically effective doses; (ii) the dispersing aid; (iii) the propellant in an amount sufficient to propel a plurality of doses from an aerosol canister; and (iv) any further optional components; and dispersing the components. The components can be dispersed using a conventional mixer or homogenizer, by shaking, or by ultrasonic energy. See column 10, lines 20-35. Duan discloses metered dose valves on aerosol canisters to deliver the formulations. See column 10, lines 35-40.

Lastly, Duan discloses the formulations can be delivered to the respiratory tract and/or lung by oral inhalation in order to effect bronchodilation or in order to treat a condition susceptible of treatment by inhalation, e.g., asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The formulations of the invention can also be delivered by nasal inhalation in order to treat, e.g., allergic rhinitis, rhinitis, or diabetes. See column 10, lines 59-65.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant has not responded to the merits of the rejection and therefore the rejection is maintained for the reasons set forth above.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 1616

**The rejection of claims 36-37, 39-48, 50-52, 55-63, and 65 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US patent 6,655,379 to Clark et al is maintained for reasons set for in the Office Action mailed 10/20/04 on pages 4-5.**

Clark et al disclose an aerosolized active agent delivery, which may be formulated into a dry powder, a nebulizer, or admixed with a propellant. See abstract. The active agent may be dissolved or suspended in the propellant. Clark incorporates US patent 5,672,581 to teach the propellant system. See column 7, lines 15-20. Clark also discloses the use of metered dose inhalers. See column 7, lines 60-67.

Instant active agents (albuterol, budesonide, flunisolide) are disclosed on column 4, lines 54-60 and example 5. Clark discloses the use of pharmaceutical carriers to improve the dispersibility of the powder within the device to provide for a more efficient and reproducible delivery of the active agent. Amino acids such as glycine, arginine, lysine, etc. and peptides such as HSA and gelatin are taught as suitable carriers with glycine and HAS (human serum albumin) are preferred. See column 6, lines 36-65.

Clark discloses combining glycine or HAS to prepare the active agent. HAS is used in an amount of 6.75% with heparin. See column 9 in its entirety. The amorphous powder is then used as a dry powder, a nebulizer, or suspended in a propellant.

Lastly, Clark et al discloses delivery of insulin to the lungs to treat diabetes. See column 2, lines 49-55.

It should be noted that HAS is a protein that inherently contains amino acids derivatives and thus reads on applicant's amino acid enhancing material.

Art Unit: 1616

It should be noted that Clark et al is given priority to 3/16/98 wherein the subject matter of US '379 is fully supported by the provisional applications.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant has not responded to the merits of the rejection and therefore the rejection is maintained for the reasons set forth above.

***Conclusion***

None of the claims are allowed at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharmila S. Gollamudi whose telephone number is 571-272-0614. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30), alternate Fridays off.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
BRUCE KISLIUK, DIRECTOR  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

SSG

Sharmila S. Gollamudi  
Examiner  
Art Unit 1616

  
GARY KUNZ  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600